	A	pplication No.	Applicant(s)	
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summar	v 1	0/751,161	PRUKOP, GABRIEL	
Examiner-induced interview duminary	E	xaminer	Art Unit	
	G	eorge Suchfield	3676	
All Participants:	;	Status of Application: <u>pen</u>	<u>ding</u>	
(1) <u>George Suchfield</u> .		(3)		
(2) <u>Josetta I. Jones</u> .		(4)		
Date of Interview: 17 October 2005		Time: <u>Eastern Daylight-Say</u>	ring, p.m.	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description:		s representative)		
Part I.				
Rejection(s) discussed: 35 USC 112(1)				
Claims discussed: 1, 8, 9, 11				
Prior art documents discussed: None				
Part II.				
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:				
Part III.				
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a septidirectly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a septidid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief succession. 	on. The example arate reco	caminer will provide a writte ord of the substance of the	n summary of the substar interview, since the intervi	nce
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Ap	plicant/Ap	plicant's Representative Sig	nature – if appropriate)	i